

TPB Guidance Statement

TPB(GS) 51/2024

Managing conflicts of interest when undertaking activities for government and maintaining confidentiality in dealings with government

Disclaimer

This is a Tax Practitioners Board (TPB) Guidance Statement (TPB(GS)).

This document is intended as information only. It provides information regarding the TPB's position on the application of sections 20 and 25 of the Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024 (the Determination).

While this TPB(GS) seeks to provide practical assistance and explanation, it does not exhaust, prescribe or limit the scope of the TPB's powers in the *Tax Agent Services Act 2009* (TASA). In addition, please note that the principles, explanations and examples in this TPB(GS) do not constitute legal advice and do not create additional rights or legal obligations beyond those that are contained in the TASA, or which may exist at law. Please refer to the TASA and the Determination for the precise content of the legislative requirements.

Document history

This TPB(GS) was originally issued as an exposure draft on 24 October 2024. The TPB invited comments and submissions in relation to the information contained in it by 21 November 2024. The TPB considered all the comments and submissions received, and published the TPB Information Sheet *TPB(I) 46/2024 Managing conflicts of interest when undertaking activities for government and maintaining confidentiality in dealings with government* on 23 December 2024.

On 18 December 2025, the TPB updated this TPB(GS) to clarify the scope of a registered tax practitioner's obligations under section 20 of the Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

On 30 April 2026, the TPB renamed its 'Information Sheet' to 'Guidance Statement'. All references in this document have been updated accordingly. The TPB Information Sheet [TPB\(I\) 46/2024 Managing conflicts of interest when undertaking activities for government and maintaining confidentiality in dealings with government](#) has been archived.

On 20 July 2026, the TPB made minor changes to the TPB(GS) to ensure alignment with changes to the anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing (AML/CTF) regime, regulated by the Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (AUSTRAC), that commenced from 1 July 2026.

On 30 October 2026, the TPB made minor updates to this TPB(GS) to include references to TPB(GS) 55/2026 The use of Artificial Intelligence and the Code of Professional Conduct.

This TPB(GS) is based on the TASA as at the date of issue.

Issued: 23 December 2024

Last updated: 30 October 2026

Contents

Introduction	4
Background.....	5
What is the obligation under section 20?	6
Activities undertaken for an Australian government agency in the registered tax practitioner's professional capacity	7
What is a 'conflict of interest'?	9
What are 'reasonable steps to identify and document any material conflict of interest'?	10
Disclose details of any material conflict of interest as soon as you become aware of the conflict	12
What are 'reasonable steps to manage, mitigate, and where appropriate and possible avoid, any material conflict of interest'?.....	14
Continued engagement at the discretion of the Australian government agency	17
What is the obligation under section 25?	18
Activities undertaken with an Australian government agency in your professional capacity	19
Obligation to not disclose information	19
Obligation to not use information for personal advantage	28
Comparison with the Corporations Act for tax agents with a tax (financial) advice services condition	31
Case studies – section 20 of the Determination	33
Case studies – section 25 of the Determination	36
Further information.....	41

Managing conflicts of interest when undertaking activities for government and maintaining confidentiality in dealings with government

Introduction

1. This Guidance Statement (TPB(GS)) has been prepared by the Tax Practitioners Board (TPB)¹ to assist registered tax and BAS agents (collectively referred to as 'registered tax practitioners') to understand their obligations under the following:
 - section 20 of the [Tax Agent Services \(Code of Professional Conduct\) Determination 2024](#) (Determination)²
 - section 25 of the Determination³.
2. While the focus of this TPB(GS) is on the obligations in sections 20 and 25 of the Determination, it is also important to note that there are also 17 obligations in the Code of Professional Conduct (Code)⁴, additional obligations in the Determination, and further requirements that registered tax practitioners must comply with under the *Tax Agent Services Act 2009* (TASA).⁵ These include ongoing requirements in relation to maintaining registration under the TASA, including that a registered tax practitioner is a 'fit and proper' person⁶. Further, the obligations in this TPB(GS) are in addition to obligations 5 and 6 of the Code (which relate to managing conflicts of interest and maintaining confidentiality).
3. In this TPB(GS), you will find the following information:
 - background to the legislative requirements (paragraphs 4 to 8)
 - details of the obligation under section 20 (paragraphs 9 to 63)
 - details of the obligation under section 25 (paragraphs 64 to 120)
 - comparison with the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) (Corporations Act) for tax agents with a tax (financial) advice services condition (paragraphs 121 to 130)
 - case studies (paragraphs 131 and 132)
 - further information (paragraph 133).

¹ The TPB administers a system for the registration of tax agents and BAS agents (known collectively as 'tax practitioners') under the *Tax Agent Services Act 2009* (TASA).

² The TPB has also published specific information regarding the obligations of registered tax practitioners under subsection 30-10(5) of the TASA, including the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 24/2014 Managing conflicts of interest](#).

³ The TPB has also published specific information regarding the obligations of registered tax practitioners under subsection 30-10(6) of the TASA, including the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 26/2014 Confidentiality of client information](#).

⁴ The provisions of the Code are contained in section 30-10 of the TASA. The TPB has also published a guidance statement that sets out its views on the application of the Code, including Code obligations 5 and 6. Refer to the TPB Guidance Statement *TPB(GS) 01/2010 Code of Professional Conduct*.

⁵ The TPB also notes the Government's broader program of work in relation to conflicts of interest and will review this TPB(GS) as the other guidance is finalised.

⁶ For further information, see the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 02/2010 Fit and proper person](#).


Background

4. Section 30-10 of the TASA contains the Code, comprising 17 obligations that regulate the personal and professional conduct of registered tax practitioners.
5. One of these obligations is contained in subsection 30-10(17) of the TASA, which requires registered tax practitioners to comply with any obligations that the Minister determines, by legislative instrument, under section 30-12 of the TASA.
6. On 1 July 2024, the Minister determined 8 additional Code obligations, set out in the Determination. These additional Code obligations apply from:
 - **1 July 2025** – for registered tax practitioners with 100 or less employees as at 31 July 2024⁷
 - **1 January 2025** – for any other registered tax practitioners.⁸
7. The deferred application date provides registered tax practitioners time to understand their obligations, assess their own practice and implement changes, if required, to comply with their obligations under the Determination from the relevant application date under paragraph 6.
8. For further information on the Determination, refer to the TPB's website guidance titled '[The Code Determination – Background and context](#)'. This guidance provides additional background information including:
 - the process to finalise the Determination
 - commencement and application date
 - the TPB's approach to support implementation.

⁷ This includes new registered tax practitioners with 100 or less employees that register between 1 August 2024 and 30 June 2025 inclusive.

⁸ See the Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Amendment (Measures No. 1) Determination 2024.

What is the obligation under section 20?



9. Section 20 of the Determination requires registered tax practitioners, in relation to any activities they undertake for an Australian government agency in a professional capacity, to:
- take reasonable steps to identify and document any material conflict of interest (real or apparent) the registered tax practitioner has in connection with the activity
 - disclose the details of any material conflict of interest (real or apparent) identified in relation to the steps undertaken under paragraph (a) above as soon as the registered tax practitioner becomes aware of the conflict
 - take reasonable steps to manage, mitigate, and where appropriate and possible avoid, any material conflict of interest (real or apparent) identified in relation to the steps undertaken under paragraph (a) above (except to the extent that the agency has expressly agreed otherwise).⁹
10. The obligation is intended to assist in minimising potential adverse impacts to Australian government agencies where a registered tax practitioner has a conflict of interest in connection with an activity undertaken for an Australian government agency.

⁹ It is noted that as at 1 July 2024, the [Commonwealth Supplier Code of Conduct](#) came into effect, which is aimed at further strengthening integrity and ethical conduct in government operations and those of its suppliers.

11. Section 20 does not prohibit registered tax practitioners from having conflicts of interest. Rather, it creates an obligation on tax practitioners to appropriately *manage* and *mitigate* conflicts of interest that arise, or may arise, in relation to activities that they undertake for an Australian government agency in their professional capacity. Where possible and appropriate, reasonable steps must be taken to avoid conflicts unless the Australian government agency has expressly agreed to accept a particular conflict in specific circumstances.
12. In addition, section 20 creates a positive obligation on registered tax practitioners to disclose the details of the conflict to the government agency as soon as the registered tax practitioner becomes aware of the conflict.
13. In circumstances where a registered tax practitioner identifies and discloses a material conflict of interest to an Australian government agency, the continued engagement of the registered tax practitioner will be at the discretion of the agency having regard to the nature of the conflict and any steps taken by the registered tax practitioner to manage or mitigate the conflict. This recognises that Australian government agencies should be able to engage individuals with appropriate expertise who act independently and with integrity in undertaking activities to assist government in shaping the Australian taxation and superannuation system.
14. It is also noted that the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board (APESB) has released [APES 110 Code Ethics for Professional Accountants \(including Independence Standards\)](#) (APES 110) and [APES 220 Taxation Services](#) (APES 220), which apply to members of relevant professional bodies that have adopted it. While not binding on all registered tax practitioners, these standards provide useful guidance on what steps a registered tax practitioner can take to ensure they have adequate arrangements in place for the management of conflicts of interest that may arise in relation to activities that are undertaken in their capacity as a registered tax practitioner. APES 110 notes, among other things, that a member is required to not allow conflict of interests to override professional or business judgments,¹⁰ while APES 220 Taxation Services also outlines requirements as to objectivity.

Activities undertaken for an Australian government agency in the registered tax practitioner's professional capacity

15. The obligation under section 20 of the Determination applies to activities undertaken by a registered tax practitioner for an Australian government agency in the registered tax practitioner's professional capacity, whether paid or otherwise.

¹⁰ See APES 110 *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants*, sections 100, 210 and 310 on the Accounting Professional & Ethical Standards Board website at www.apesb.org.au

16. 'Australian government agency' is defined in section 995-1 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* as the Commonwealth, a State or a Territory, or an authority of the Commonwealth, or of a State or a Territory. Examples include the Department of the Treasury, Tax Practitioners Board, Australian Taxation Office, Australian Securities and Investments Commission, Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, Department of Education and Training Victoria, and NSW Health.
17. Broadly, the term 'professional capacity' would include activities undertaken by the registered tax practitioner:
- in their capacity as a registered tax practitioner, and
 - in any other skilled or expert capacity where the relevant activities fall outside of the definition of a tax agent service¹¹ or BAS service.¹²
18. Practically speaking, this includes providing any advice, assistance, or feedback to the government, whether paid or otherwise. However, it does not extend to activities or interactions that are of a personal nature.¹³
19. Expanding the obligation to activities outside of those undertaken in an entity's capacity as a registered tax practitioner highlights the importance of the registered tax practitioner's role in representing the tax profession and gives the public greater confidence and assurance in the integrity of the profession.¹⁴
20. Relevant activities that a registered tax practitioner may undertake in their professional capacity may include, but are not limited to, the following:
- providing expert advice, assistance, or feedback on technical and professional matters, including potential legislative changes
 - providing advice, assistance, or feedback on strategy
 - providing accounting and/or information technology (IT) services
 - undertaking research activities, such as attitudinal surveys and feasibility studies
 - overseeing government functions.
21. The TPB is of the view that these activities may be undertaken through either a formal engagement (such as through a procurement process, or a confidential consultation process) or an informal engagement (which may include internal meetings and discussions, or informal consultation processes).

¹¹ For more information in relation to the definition of a tax agent service, see the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 44/2023 What is a tax agent service?](#)

¹² For more information in relation to the definition of a BAS service, see the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 43/2023 What is a BAS service?](#)

¹³ See Explanatory Statement to the Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

¹⁴ Ibid.

What is a 'conflict of interest'?

22. For the purposes of section 20 of the Determination, a conflict of interest is where a registered tax practitioner has a personal interest associated with the activities they undertake for a government agency in their professional capacity or has a duty to another person which is in conflict with the duty owed to the Australian government agency.
23. A conflict of interest may be direct or indirect, and real or apparent (or perceived). Also, it can arise before the registered tax practitioner accepts an engagement or at any time during the engagement.
24. A real conflict of interest arises where a registered tax practitioner has multiple competing interests and cannot objectively and impartially act in one of the interests.
25. An apparent conflict of interest arises where a registered tax practitioner has multiple interests, and the nature of those interests are such that they give rise to a reasonable perception by the public that one interest could possibly impact the motivation to act impartially for another interest. Put another way, an apparent conflict of interest arises if a fair-minded lay observer might reasonably conclude that the registered tax practitioner might not bring an impartial mind to the activities they are engaged to undertake for the Australian government agency.¹⁵

When is a conflict of interest 'material'?

26. The TASA and Tax Agent Services Regulations 2022 (TASR) do not provide any guidance on when a conflict of interest will be 'material'. Similarly, the Determination does not define the term 'material' in the context of conflicts of interest. However, it does provide some guidance by way of examples that distinguish between a conflict that is 'material' and one that is not material.¹⁶ Further guidance on the meaning of 'material' is also available at paragraphs 32 to 34 of the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 50/2024 False or misleading statements](#).
27. Given the term 'material' is not defined in the TASA, it is given its ordinary meaning, having regard to the purpose of the provision and its statutory context. The term 'material' means of substantial import or consequence.¹⁷
28. The TPB considers that the test of whether a conflict of interest is 'material' will depend on the facts and circumstances and whether a reasonable person, having the knowledge, skill and experience of a registered tax practitioner, would expect it to be of substantial import, effect or consequence to the other entity.

¹⁵ *Ebner v Official Trustee in Bankruptcy* [2000] HCA 63; (2000) 205 CLR 337 at [6].

¹⁶ See Explanatory Statement to Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

¹⁷ The Macquarie Dictionary, Macmillian Publishers Australia, 2023.

29. This requires the registered tax practitioner to exercise their professional judgement, taking into account the facts and circumstances surrounding the activities they are undertaking for the government agency, including:
- the information known to the tax practitioner about the activities
 - the consequences for the government agency if the registered tax practitioner's personal interest is such that it could give rise to a real or apparent conflict of interest that could affect their ability to discharge their duties and / or obligations to the government agency.
30. A material conflict of interest may arise in circumstances that include, but are not limited to, where a registered tax practitioner:
- is engaged by a government agency to consult on proposed government law reform that impacts clients of the registered tax practitioner such that it may result in a potential or perceived benefit or gain to the registered tax practitioner and / or their clients
 - may benefit or gain financially from their engagement with the government agency directly or indirectly (this could include a benefit to the registered tax practitioner themselves, their employer, client and / or other associate)
 - misuses confidential information obtained in dealings with government in circumstances where this conduct may result in a potential or perceived benefit or gain to the registered tax practitioner
 - interferes in government decision making in circumstances where this conduct may result in a potential or perceived benefit or gain to the registered tax practitioner.

What are 'reasonable steps to identify and document any material conflict of interest'?

31. The first element of the obligation under section 20 of the Determination requires that a registered tax practitioner take reasonable steps to identify and document any material conflict of interest (whether real or apparent) in connection with the activity undertaken for the Australian government agency in the registered tax practitioner's professional capacity. This requires the registered tax practitioner to actively turn their mind to whether a conflict of interest exists.
32. Registered tax practitioners should keep adequate records of any material conflict of interest identified in connection with the activity undertaken for an Australian government agency.
33. The TASA and the Determination do not provide guidance on the specific steps that a registered tax practitioner should take to document a material conflict of interest.

34. In these circumstances, the TPB expects that registered tax practitioners record the conflict of interest as soon as possible and practicable after the conflict of interest is identified. The record should contain sufficient details of the conflict of interest, including details of the materiality of the conflict.¹⁸ For more information on the details that a practitioner should record or document, see paragraph 41 below.
35. A determination of whether the registered tax practitioner has taken **reasonable steps** to identify and document a material conflict of interest will be a question of fact having regard to the particular circumstances of the matter in question.¹⁹
36. Relevant factors in deciding whether a registered tax practitioner has taken reasonable steps to identify and document any material conflict of interest may include the following:
- the size of the tax practitioner entity
 - the type of work undertaken by the tax practitioner
 - the client base of the tax practitioner
 - the likelihood of conflicts of interest arising
 - the sensitive nature of the activities undertaken for the government agency
 - any possible adverse consequences for the government agency should a conflict of interest arise
 - whether the registered tax practitioner has provided training to staff on identifying, disclosing and documenting conflicts of interest²⁰
 - whether the registered tax practitioner has established procedures for the disclosure and record-keeping of potential conflicts of interest²¹
 - whether the registered tax practitioner has established procedures for identifying and documenting conflicts of interest, which could include:
 - preliminary conflict checks prior to accepting clients or allocating staff to projects²²
 - maintaining, and periodically reviewing, a conflict of interest register
 - information handling procedures that utilise technology to limit information access to those with a legitimate need to know.

¹⁸ Registered tax practitioners should also consider whether the existence of a material conflict of interest gives rise to any obligations they may have under the new breach reporting requirements and the non-compliance with laws and regulations (NoCLAR) framework.

¹⁹ See, for example, *ASIC v Citigroup Global Markets Australia Pty Ltd* (ACN 113 114 832) (No. 4) [2007] FCA 963.

²⁰ See Explanatory Statement to Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

²¹ Ibid.

²² Ibid.

Disclose details of any material conflict of interest as soon as you become aware of the conflict

37. The second element of the obligation under section 20 of the Determination requires that a registered tax practitioner disclose the details of any material conflict of interest (real or apparent) the registered tax practitioner has in connection with the activity undertaken for the agency to the agency as soon as the registered tax practitioner becomes aware of the conflict.
38. The obligation to disclose a conflict of interest is not limited to a registered tax practitioner disclosing information about their own material conflicts of interest. It also extends to any material conflict of interest of any other individual or entity providing tax agent services on behalf of the registered tax practitioner. This may include any conflict of interest of any employee, associate, or other relevant entity of the registered tax practitioner.
39. Therefore, where a registered tax practitioner is undertaking an activity for an agency in their professional capacity and knows of another relevant person's or entity's conflict of interest (for example, an employee or associate), section 20 of the Determination imposes an obligation on the registered tax practitioner to disclose the details of that conflict of interest to the agency.
40. The material conflict of interest must be disclosed as soon as the registered tax practitioner becomes aware of the conflict. The TPB is of the view that disclosure should occur as soon as practicable once the material conflict of interest is identified, and within a timeframe that allows the Australian government agency a reasonable time to assess the risk and continued engagement of the registered tax practitioner.

What details need to be disclosed to the agency

41. Details to disclose to the government agency may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the nature of the conflict
- the extent of the conflict
- what interest, association (including any membership of and / or employment at a professional association) or incentive gives rise to the conflict
- the identity of the registered tax practitioners or others related to the conflict and the extent to which they have been involved in the services provided to the government agency
- when the conflict was first identified
- how the advice or services provided to the government agency might have been different had there not been a conflict of interest
- any benefit, financial or otherwise, obtained due to the conflict of interest
- whether any actions have been taken or are proposed to avoid the conflict or to mitigate any damage arising from the conflict.²³

42. The disclosure should:

- be made as soon as the registered tax practitioner becomes aware of the material conflict of interest
- be specific and meaningful to the Australian government agency
- refer to the specific activities to which the conflict relates
- allow the government agency a reasonable time to assess the effect of the conflict of interest.

43. The TPB strongly recommends that the disclosure be made in writing. Further, the disclosure must contain sufficient details to allow the government agency to make an informed decision about how the conflict may affect the activities the registered tax practitioner is engaged in and the management of those activities. The government agency will then determine whether, and to what extent, the registered tax practitioner can continue to engage in those activities.

44. Where a registered tax practitioner is unsure as to whether a conflict of interest arises or whether the conflict is material or not, the registered tax practitioner should err on the side of caution and disclose the details of the potential conflict of interest to the relevant government agency.²⁴

²³ Ibid.

²⁴ Ibid.

45. Where a registered tax practitioner assesses the conflict of interest as one that is not material and decides not to disclose the details of the potential conflict of interest to the relevant government agency, it is recommended that the registered tax practitioner document that decision should:
- they be required to explain it as part of a future review or investigation, and / or
 - the TPB form the view that the conflict of interest was material and should have been disclosed to the relevant government agency.
46. If a registered tax practitioner decides, in good faith, not to disclose a conflict of interest they have deemed as one that is not material, and can support their rationale for not disclosing, and the TPB later makes a contrary finding, the TPB will take a pragmatic and balanced approach when deciding what compliance action to take (if any).
47. In these circumstances, if the TPB is satisfied with the registered tax practitioner's explanation for not disclosing the conflict of interest, the TPB's response is likely to be a measured and educative one, with the aim of assisting the registered tax practitioner to better understand the obligation under section 20 of the Determination. In these cases, the TPB is unlikely to impose any sanctions, although this will ultimately depend on the facts and circumstances.

What are 'reasonable steps to manage, mitigate, and where appropriate and possible avoid, any material conflict of interest'?

48. The third element of the obligation under section 20 of the Determination requires a registered tax practitioner to take reasonable steps to manage, mitigate, and, where appropriate and possible avoid, any material conflict of interest that the registered tax practitioner has in connection with an activity undertaken for the Australian government agency (except to the extent that the agency has expressly agreed otherwise).
49. A determination of whether the conflict management arrangements employed by a registered tax practitioner are sufficiently adequate to meet the obligation under section 20 of the Determination will be a question of fact having regard to the particular circumstances.
50. A number of mechanisms could be used to manage, mitigate, and avoid a conflict of interest. It will be up to the registered tax practitioner to exercise their professional judgement to determine the most appropriate method to meet the obligation under section 20 of the Determination.

Managing and mitigating a conflict of interest

51. Section 20 of the Determination requires registered tax practitioners to take reasonable steps to manage and mitigate any material conflict of interest that they have identified in connection with an activity undertaken for an Australian government agency in their professional capacity.
52. The phrase ‘manage and mitigate’ is not defined in the TASA and therefore is given its ordinary meaning, having regard to the purpose of the provision and its statutory context. The term ‘manage’ means to take charge of or care of, or to handle, direct, govern, or control in action or use.²⁵ The term ‘mitigate’ means to moderate the severity of.²⁶
53. Reasonable steps to manage and mitigate a conflict of interest may require a registered tax practitioner to:
- assess and evaluate the conflict of interest
 - implement appropriate mechanisms to manage or control the impact of the conflict of interest on the registered tax practitioner’s advice or decisions, or the decisions of the government agency
 - implement appropriate mechanisms to mitigate the conflict of interest.
54. What is reasonable in the circumstances will be a case-by-case decision involving consideration of a number of factors, including the:
- size of the tax practitioner entity
 - type of work undertaken by the registered tax practitioner
 - likelihood of conflicts of interest arising.²⁷
55. Examples of reasonable steps include, but are not limited to, the following:
- enforcing procedures for managing, mitigating, and avoiding conflicts of interest
 - allocating staff to projects in a way that manages or avoids potential conflicts of interest, for example,
 - by not allocating staff with conflicts to certain projects or tasks, or
 - by allocating staff with conflicts of interest to work on initial identification and analysis of issues but having staff without conflicts of interest reviewing that analysis and making final decisions
 - having internal governance policies in relation to conflicts of interest that include consequences for failing to comply with those procedures
 - maintaining a conflict of interest register and information handling procedures that utilise technology to limit information access to those with a legitimate need to know.²⁸

²⁵ The Macquarie Dictionary, Macmillian Publishers Australia, 2023.

²⁶ The Macquarie Dictionary, Macmillian Publishers Australia, 2023.

²⁷ See Explanatory Statement to Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

²⁸ Ibid.

56. Additional techniques that may assist a registered tax practitioner to manage and mitigate conflicts of interest may include:
- placing a positive onus on employees or anyone else providing relevant services on behalf of the registered tax practitioner in relation to the activities undertaken for the Australian government agency to declare conflicts of interest, including reporting to appropriate people, and signing relevant declarations as appropriate
 - developing a register of private interests (in conjunction with appropriate protocols) and regularly revising the register
 - reviewing conflict of interest declarations periodically
 - relevant training, including to employees or anyone else providing relevant services on behalf of the registered tax practitioner in relation to the activities undertaken for the Australian government agency, to ensure appropriate awareness and understanding of what constitutes a conflict of interest and how to act in accordance with relevant internal procedures and protocols (including, for example, escalation procedures)
 - seeking advice from an independent third party, which may include legal advice.²⁹

Avoiding a conflict of interest


57. Section 20 of the Determination requires registered tax practitioners, where appropriate and possible, to avoid any material conflict of interest that they have identified in connection with an activity undertaken, or due to be undertaken, for an Australian government agency, except to the extent that the government agency has expressly provided otherwise.
58. A number of mechanisms may be adopted to avoid a conflict of interest, such as those described at paragraphs 55 and 56 above. Registered tax practitioners should exercise their professional judgement to determine the most appropriate method to avoid a conflict of interest.
59. In some cases, regardless of arrangements put in place, conflicts will be unmanageable and the only way to adequately manage the conflict will be to avoid it altogether. This will generally require the registered tax practitioner to decline the engagement. Otherwise, the continued engagement by the government agency of the practitioner will be at the discretion of the agency having regard to the nature of the conflict and any steps taken by the practitioner to manage or mitigate the conflict.

²⁹ See, for example, sections 210 and 310 of APES 110 Code Ethics for Professional Accountants (including Independence Standards), available on the Accounting and Professional & Ethical Standards Board website at www.apesb.org.au.

Continued engagement at the discretion of the Australian government agency

60. Once a conflict of interest is disclosed to the Australian government agency, the continued engagement of the registered tax practitioner will be at the discretion of the relevant agency.
61. An assessment of whether to continue with the engagement may consider factors such as:
- the nature and extent of the conflict of interest
 - steps taken by the registered tax practitioner to manage the conflict of interest
 - any mitigating actions taken by the registered tax practitioner
 - the sensitive nature of the activities undertaken for the Australian government agency
 - the damage, financial or otherwise, that may result should the engagement continue
 - whether there are opportunities to obtain information and / or services relevant to the activities undertaken by the Australian government agency from an entity that does not have a conflict
 - the extent to which the risks arising from the conflict can be appropriately managed
 - whether the risk of accepting the conflict outweighs the risk of not obtaining the necessary information and / or services relevant to the activities undertaken by the Australian government agency.
62. The discretion recognises that there may be circumstances where the only way the government agency can obtain relevant and necessary expertise is from a registered tax practitioner that has a conflict of interest.
63. In these circumstances, the government agency may provide express consent to accept a conflict of interest. Where express consent is provided, the conflict of interest must be managed in a way that is consistent with that express consent. It must also be managed in a way that is consistent with the obligation in section 25 of the Determination (discussed in more details at paragraphs 64 to 120).

What is the obligation under section 25?



64. Section 25 of the Determination gives rise to 2 obligations in relation to maintaining confidentiality in dealings with Australian government agencies:

- a. subject to some exceptions (see paragraphs 86 to 105), to **not disclose any** information received, directly or indirectly, from an Australian government agency in connection with any activities undertaken with that agency in a registered tax practitioner's professional capacity, and
- b. subject to some exceptions (see paragraphs 116 to 120), to **not use any** information received, directly or indirectly, from an Australian government agency in connection with any activities undertaken with that agency in a registered tax practitioner's professional capacity, for their personal advantage, or for the advantage of an associate, employee, employer or client of the registered tax practitioner.

- 65. The obligation is intended to protect against the unauthorised disclosure and improper use by registered tax practitioners of information they obtain in relation to activities they undertake with an Australian government agency.
- 66. The obligation applies to information received by a tax practitioner directly from the Australian government agency, or indirectly, such as through staff working with the agency on behalf of the registered tax practitioner.³⁰

Activities undertaken with an Australian government agency in your professional capacity

- 67. Like the obligation under section 20 of the Determination, the obligations under section 25 apply to activities undertaken by a registered tax practitioner with an Australian government agency in the registered tax practitioner's professional capacity, whether paid or otherwise.
- 68. The nature of the activities undertaken by the registered tax practitioner is broader than those to which the obligation in section 20 of the Determination applies and includes activities undertaken for clients other than the Australian government agency. For example, it could include interactions with an Australian government agency in relation to a client of the registered tax practitioner.
- 69. For more information in relation to activities undertaken with an Australian government agency in a registered tax practitioner's professional capacity, see paragraphs 15 to 21 above.

Obligation to not disclose information

- 70. Subsection 25(1) of the Determination prohibits registered tax practitioners from disclosing information they receive (directly or indirectly) from an Australian government agency in connection with activities they undertake with the government agency in their professional capacity, unless there is a legal duty to do so or to the extent that the following apply:
 - a. it is reasonable to conclude that the information received from the Australian government agency was authorised by that agency for further disclosure, and
 - b. any further disclosure of the information was done consistently with the agency's authorisation (whether express or implied, and as reasonably concluded).

³⁰ See Explanatory Statement to the Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

71. For example, where a registered tax practitioner receives information from an Australian government agency, when engaging with that agency for and on behalf of a client, it would be reasonable to conclude that the information received from the agency was authorised for disclosure to the client.
72. Further, these requirements may limit authorised disclosure of government information to certain registered tax practitioners or individuals within an entity, rather than the entity as a whole.³¹
73. The obligation is not intended to apply to information released by the government agency to the general public (either published on a publicly available government website or through the media), nor does it extend to activities or interactions of a personal nature.³² Further, it is not intended to apply to generic, non-taxpayer specific information provided to the tax practitioner community by the ATO, as this information is general in nature.
74. The key concepts of this obligation are explained further below.

What is ‘information’?

75. ‘Information’ refers to the acquiring or deriving of knowledge obtained in connection with activities undertaken with an Australian government agency by a registered tax practitioner in their professional capacity. This information could be acquired either directly or indirectly from the government agency or other sources.
76. Examples of information that may be received from an Australian government agency include:
- proposed government reform, including potential legislative changes
 - information about procurement processes, including tender or pricing information, an agency’s project budget, pre-tender estimates, or evaluation methodologies
 - proposed or ongoing law enforcement activities
 - personal information about entities, including a client of the registered tax practitioner
 - cabinet in-confidence documents or market sensitive information.

What is a third party?

77. The obligation under section 25 will apply to any disclosure to a ‘third party’.

³¹ Ibid.

³² Ibid.

78. A third party means any entity other than the registered tax practitioner and the government agency.
79. In relation to a registered tax practitioner that outsources a component of the tax agent services to another entity (for example, another registered tax practitioner, a legal practitioner, a contractor, or an overseas or offshore entity), the third party would include that other entity.
80. Further, a third party may also include entities that maintain offsite data storage systems (including unencrypted 'cloud storage') as well as artificial intelligence (AI) models and tools in the provision of tax agent services.
81. In the case of a tax agent with a tax (financial) advice services condition that is an authorised representative of an Australian financial services (AFS) licensee, a third party includes the AFS licensee, and vice versa.
82. Subject to the relevant contractual arrangements, a third party may also include other AFS licensees, authorised representatives, para-planners, product providers and advisers, insurance brokers, and technical teams and advisers.

In what circumstances can a registered tax practitioner disclose information to a third party?

83. A registered tax practitioner may only disclose information received in connection with activities undertaken with an Australian government agency if:
- a. it is reasonable to conclude that the further disclosure of the information received from the Australian government agency was authorised by that agency and the further disclosure was done consistently with the agency's authorisation (whether express or implied, and as reasonably concluded), or
 - b. there is a legal duty to do so.
84. This ensures that government agencies can establish limits on with whom and how the information may be shared.
85. Subsection 25(1) of the Determination complements section 20 in circumstances where the disclosure is authorised to certain registered tax practitioners with a need to know the information, and not authorised to be disclosed to people who may have a material conflict of interest. For example, these requirements may limit authorised disclosure of government information to certain registered tax practitioners or individuals within an entity, rather than the entirety of an entity.³³

³³ Ibid.

Reasonable to conclude further disclosure of information was authorised by Australian government agency

86. Where it is reasonable to conclude that the information received from the Australian government agency was authorised by that agency for further disclosure, and the further disclosure of the information was done consistently with that authorisation (whether express or implied), the registered tax practitioner will not contravene subsection 25(1) of the Determination.
87. Whether the further disclosure was authorised by the Australian government agency is to be determined based on a registered tax practitioner's reasonable assessment of the agency's actions and surrounding circumstances, including consideration of the following:
- whether the agency has advised that the information provided is confidential
 - whether any supplied documentation is marked in a manner indicating the contents are to be held in confidence
 - whether the agency has advised that the information is set to be made public on or after a particular time.
88. Applying this standard, if a reasonable person, possessing the required knowledge, skill and experience of a registered tax practitioner, objectively determined, would conclude that the further disclosure of the information was authorised by the government agency, this will be sufficient. It is not necessary to determine the question with any certainty.
89. It would be reasonable for a registered tax practitioner to conclude that further disclosure is authorised in each of the following circumstances:
- where there are no communications from the Australian government agency indicating the information has been supplied in confidence
 - where there are no communications from the Australia government agency indicating the reproduction or disclosure is somehow qualified
 - the information is not protected under law from disclosure
 - it is not generally accepted that information of this type or nature would be held in confidence.

90. For example, where a registered tax practitioner is engaging with an Australian government agency for, and on behalf of, a particular client and receives information from the agency in relation to that client, it would be reasonable to conclude that the information received from the agency was authorised for disclosure to the client. Any further disclosure, such as disclosure to a legal practitioner or other expert engaged in relation to the activities relating to the client, will be a matter determined by the terms of the agreement between the registered tax practitioner and the client.
91. Other relevant factors in determining whether it is reasonable to conclude that further disclosure of the information was authorised by the Australian government agency may include the following:
- the context in which the information was provided
 - comments made by the government agency when providing the information to the registered tax practitioner
 - the availability of the information provided to the registered tax practitioner from other sources.³⁴
92. If information is sensitive or disclosure raises appreciable risks of potential misuse for personal advantage, there would be a presumption that further disclosure would not be reasonably authorised. Such a presumption would only be rebutted where the recipient of the information held clear evidence that disclosure was authorised. If information is not sensitive and is widely available, it would be much easier to be satisfied that it would be reasonable to conclude that further disclosure was authorised unless the agency expressly provided otherwise.³⁵
93. Ultimately, the registered tax practitioner should use their professional judgement to assess whether the further disclosure of the information by the registered tax practitioner was authorised, having regard to the Australian government agency's action and surrounding circumstances. Where there is doubt as to whether the further disclosure was authorised, registered tax practitioners should err on the side of caution and consult the government agency by seeking consent to further disclose.³⁶
94. There is no requirement that the information be marked as confidential or identified as for limited distribution although this may be a relevant factor to reasonably conclude that further disclosure of the information is authorised by the government agency.

³⁴ Ibid.

³⁵ Ibid.

³⁶ Ibid.

95. Where a registered tax practitioner intends to disclose, to a third party, information received from an Australian government agency in connection with any activities undertaken with the agency, the TPB recommends that the registered tax practitioner should consider, prior to any disclosure, clearly informing the agency that there will be such a disclosure and obtain the agency's permission. This permission may be by way of a signed letter outlining the information that is to be disclosed and to whom the information is to be disclosed to, signed consent or other communication with the government agency. In all cases, the relevant communication should outline the disclosures to be made, as well as information about the entity / entities that will have access to the information disclosed.
96. While there is no set formula or methodology used to obtain the Australian government agency's permission, the TPB suggests that registered tax practitioners be clear in explaining to the government agency where information may be disclosed (including, among other things, where a component of the activity or add-on activity will be completed). For example, to avoid any likelihood of a registered tax practitioner's practices being seen as misleading, the TPB suggests that registered tax practitioners do not imply or state that all activities undertaken with the government agency will be completed in Australia, if that is not the case.
97. In relation to outsourcing arrangements and cloud storage arrangements, the TASA does not specifically prohibit these activities. However, registered tax practitioners must consider their obligations under section 25 of the Determination in relation to these arrangements to ensure confidentiality of information received from an Australian government agency in connection with any activities undertaken with the government agency, including appropriate disclosure in regard to where data is being sent and stored.³⁷
98. Similarly, considerations apply in relation to the use of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in the provision of tax agent services.³⁸
99. While not binding on all registered tax practitioners, further useful guidance on what steps a registered tax practitioner may take when providing or utilising outsourced services may be found in specific APESB guidance.³⁹ It is also noted that TPB accredited recognised professional associations may be able to assist in providing practical guidance, while recognising that there is not a default one-size-fits-all template and that arrangements will need to be mindful of the particular circumstances.⁴⁰

³⁷ See also the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 30/2017 Cloud computing and the Code of Professional Conduct](#).

³⁸ For further information in relation to the use of AI and the Code, see [TPB\(GS\) 55/2026 The use of Artificial Intelligence and the Code of Professional Conduct](#).

³⁹ See, in particular [APESB Guidance Note GN 30 – Outsourced Services](#). This guidance note applies to members of relevant professional bodies that have adopted it.

⁴⁰ See also the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 31/2018 Outsourcing and offshoring of tax services - Code of Professional Conduct considerations](#).

Further disclosure of information was authorised by Australian government agency but further on-disclosure by the third parties was not authorised

100. Where an Australian government agency authorises (whether express or implied) the disclosure of, to a third party, confidential information received from the government agency in connection with any activities undertaken by the registered tax practitioner with the agency, any further on-disclosure by those third parties would require the authorisation of the government agency.
101. Where further on-disclosure of confidential information by third parties occurs, providing the registered tax practitioner is not aware of the further on-disclosure and the initial further disclosure to the third parties was authorised by the government agency, it is highly unlikely that the registered tax practitioner would be found to be in breach of section 25 of the Determination. However, the registered tax practitioner may be required to report the on-disclosure in accordance with their breach reporting obligations where the third parties are registered tax practitioners.⁴¹
102. In addition, the TPB may take regulatory action against third parties who are registered tax practitioners, or alternatively, against the firm in relation to unregistered third parties.

Legal duty to do so

103. A registered tax practitioner may disclose information in connection with activities they undertake with an Australian government agency to a third party without the government agency's agreement if the registered tax practitioner has a legal duty to disclose the information.
104. Examples of circumstances where a registered tax practitioner may have a legal duty to disclose such information to a third party include:
- providing information requested by the TPB in undertaking enquiries about the registered tax practitioner's conduct, including information requested under a notice issued pursuant to section 60-100 of the TASA
 - providing information to the TPB under the breach reporting obligations in sections 30-35 and 30-40 of the TASA⁴²
 - providing information to a court or tribunal pursuant to a direction, order, or other court process to provide that information

⁴¹ For more information, see the TPB Guidance Statement [TPB\(GS\) 48/2024 Breach reporting under the Tax Agent Services Act 2009](#).

⁴² Ibid.

- providing information to AUSTRAC in accordance with reporting obligations under the *Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006* (AML/CTF Act)⁴³
- providing information or documents to the ATO under a notice pursuant to section 353-10 in Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953* concerning taxation laws
- providing information to an AFS licensee pursuant to section 912G of the Corporations Act, as inserted by ASIC Class Order [CO 14/923] *Record-keeping obligations for Australian financial services licensees when giving personal advice*⁴⁴, which requires an authorised representative of an AFS licensee to give records to the AFS licensee if requested by the AFS licensee, provided the request is made:
 - in connection with the obligations imposed on the AFS licensee under Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act; and
 - within 7 years after the day on which the personal advice was provided to the client.

105. The TASA, including section 25 of the Determination, does not affect the law relating to legal professional privilege (LPP).⁴⁵ LPP protects confidential communications between a lawyer and their client from compulsory production. At times, a registered tax practitioner may be in possession of client documents or information that may be subject to LPP, such as legal advice about a client's tax affairs which has been provided on a confidential basis. This may occur in situations where the Australian government agency is a client of the registered tax practitioner or where the registered tax practitioner is undertaking activities with an Australian government agency for, or on behalf of, a client. Before disclosing client documents or information to a third party, a registered tax practitioner should consider whether any of the documents may be subject to LPP. Where a registered tax practitioner considers that LPP may apply, they should seek legal advice about the application of LPP. Accordingly, in the practical examples given in paragraphs 131 and 132 below, a registered tax practitioner would need to consider any additional LPP obligations.

⁴³ The [AML/CTF Act](#) imposes obligations on 'reporting entities' that provide 'designated services' to report suspicious matters and threshold transactions to AUSTRAC; The AML/CTF Act, together with related regulations and the [Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Rules](#), establishes a regulatory framework for combatting money laundering, terrorism financing and other serious financial crimes. From 1 July 2026, this framework applies to additional 'designated services' provided by some tax practitioners. For further information about the reporting obligations under the AML/CTF Act, refer to the [AUSTRAC website](#) at [austrac.gov.au](#).

⁴⁴ It should be noted that [CO 14/923] was due to sunset on 1 October 2024. [ASIC Corporations \(Record-Keeping Requirements for Australian Financial Services Licensees when Giving Personal Advice\) Instrument 2024/508](#), registered on 23 September 2024, preserves the effect of the requirements formerly provided for in [CO 14/923] for a five-year period starting on 1 October 2024, noting that [CO 14/923] has been repealed by [ASIC Corporations and Credit \(Repeal\) Instrument 2024/507](#).

⁴⁵ See section 70-50 of the *Tax Agent Services Act 2009*.

106. If a registered tax practitioner is concerned as to whether there is a legal duty to disclose information received in connection with any activities they undertake with the Australian government agency to a third party, the registered tax practitioner should consider seeking independent legal advice.

Inadvertent disclosure

107. Registered tax practitioners also need to ensure they have appropriate arrangements to prevent inadvertent disclosure.⁴⁶ In this regard, the following are some examples of where registered tax practitioners need to be particularly mindful of their obligations:

- leaving information in unsecured locations which may be accessed by third parties
- disposing (such as trading in or selling to a second-hand market) of IT equipment or mobile devices that contain / store data that may be accessible by third parties
- the use of shredding and data disposal services
- the use of external service providers which may include, for example, IT consultants, virtual assistants, and cleaners
- the use of virtual meetings to discuss information when third parties may be in attendance
- the use of public Wi-Fi or unsecure network when providing services for a government agency
- the use of unencrypted cloud storage.

⁴⁶ The term 'appropriate arrangements' is consistent with the OAIC's APP 11 which states that an entity must take reasonable steps to protect personal information from unauthorised disclosure: see [Chapter 11: APP 11 — Security of personal information - Home \(oaic.gov.au\)](https://www.oaic.gov.au/privacy/privacy-principles-and-applications/chapter-11-app-11-security-of-personal-information-home).

Other considerations – Privacy

108. In addition to a registered tax practitioner's obligations under subsection 25(1) of the Determination, the *Privacy Act 1988* (Cth) (Privacy Act) sets out a number of Australian Privacy Principles (APP) which govern the use of, storage and disclosure of personal information and other conduct by organisations.⁴⁷ Some of these privacy principles may be relevant to the obligation under subsection 25(1) of the Determination. For example, APP6 outlines the circumstances in which an APP entity⁴⁸ may use or disclose personal information that it holds. Further, APP11 states that an APP entity must take reasonable steps to protect personal information it holds from misuse, interference and loss, and from unauthorised access, modification or disclosure.
109. Registered tax practitioners should seek their own advice about whether the provisions of the Privacy Act apply to them. Information about obligations under the Privacy Act is accessible from the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner's website at www.oaic.gov.au.

Obligation to not use information for personal advantage

110. Subsection 25(2) of the Determination prohibits registered tax practitioners from using information they receive (directly or indirectly) from an Australian government agency in connection with activities they undertake with the government agency in a professional capacity, in a way that may provide a personal advantage, except to the extent the following apply:
- a. it is reasonable to conclude that the information received from the Australian government agency was authorised by that agency to be used in a way that may provide for such a personal advantage, and
 - b. any further use of the information was done consistently with the agency's authorisation.

⁴⁷ 'Organisation' is defined in section 6C of the Privacy Act 1988 and excludes certain small business and small business operations; see further section 6D of the Privacy Act 1988.

⁴⁸ 'APP entity' is defined at paragraph B.2 of The Australian Privacy Principles guidelines to be an agency or organisation.

'Organisation' is defined at paragraph B.3 to be an individual (including a sole trader), a body corporate, a partnership, any other unincorporated association, or a trust.

What is a personal advantage?

111. 'Personal advantage' is not defined in the TASA. It is a concept that has been considered in the context of the fiduciary duties owed by a director, other officers or employees of a corporation, in particular, the duties not to misuse one's position or information obtained because of one's position.⁴⁹ A director, other officer or employee of a corporation must not make improper use of one's position, or information obtained because of one's position, to gain an advantage or benefit for themselves or someone else.⁵⁰
112. Whether a position, or information obtained because of one's position, has been used improperly is an objective test, based on what a reasonable person, with the knowledge of the duties, powers and authority of the position and the circumstances of the case would expect of a person in that position.⁵¹ It is not necessary that an actual advantage or benefit was gained.⁵²
113. In the context of the obligation under subsection 25(2) of the Determination, the TPB is of the view that a registered tax practitioner, much like a director, other officer or employee of a corporation, is in a position of trust and confidence when engaging with an Australian government agency in their professional capacity. Any confidential information obtained from the agency in connection with activities the registered tax practitioner undertakes with the agency in their professional capacity, that is used for a purpose other than the purpose for which it was intended, would be considered to have been used for the personal interests of the registered tax practitioner.
114. It is not necessary that the use of the information was likely or guaranteed to result in a personal advantage.⁵³ The TPB considers that the mere possibility that the information has the potential to result in a personal advantage to be gained by the registered tax practitioner is enough to trigger the obligation under subsection 25(2) of the Determination. Further, given the broad general meaning attributed to the terms 'personal' and 'advantage'⁵⁴, and in the absence of any limitation or qualification (express or implied), the TPB considers that 'personal advantage' for the purposes of subsection 25(2) of the Determination, it is not necessary that the advantage or benefit gained be characterised in financial terms.

⁴⁹ See sections 182 and 183 of the Corporations Act.

⁵⁰ See in particular paragraphs 182(1)(a) and 183(1)(a) of the Corporations Act.

⁵¹ *Chew v R* [1992] HCA 18 at [6] and *R v Byrnes* [1995] HCA 1 at [25], as cited in *Pearce v Gulmohar Pty Ltd* [2017] FCA 660 at [477-478].

⁵² See *Chew v R* [1992] HCA 18 at [12].

⁵³ See Explanatory Statement to the Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

⁵⁴ The Macquarie Dictionary, Macmillan Publishers Australia, 2023.

115. The obligation imposes a strict restriction on registered tax practitioners to ensure that no personal advantage is taken from using information obtained from Australian government agencies in relation to activities undertaken with that agency, except where it is reasonable to conclude that the agency authorised this use.⁵⁵
116. Subsection 25(2) of the Determination extends the obligation further to include the use of that information for the personal advantage of an associate, employee, employer, or client. This ensures that any potential indirect benefits do not flow to the registered tax practitioner through the unauthorised disclosure of such information for the personal advantage of others.

In what circumstances can a registered tax practitioner use information for personal advantage?

117. A registered tax practitioner may only use information received in connection with activities undertaken for an Australian government agency for the registered tax practitioner's personal advantage, or the advantage of an associate, employee, employer (which may include the recognised professional association of the registered tax practitioner), or client, if:
- a. it is reasonable to conclude that the use of the information received from the government agency was authorised by that agency to be used in a way that may provide such a personal advantage, and
 - b. any further use of the information was done consistently with the agency's authorisation.
118. A registered tax practitioner must satisfy both parts of paragraph 116 above to be able to use the information for their personal advantage, or the advantage of an associate, employee, employer, or client.
119. Applying this standard, if a reasonable person, possessing the required knowledge, skill and experience of a registered tax practitioner, objectively determined, would conclude that the use of the information for such a purpose was authorised by the Australian government agency, this will be sufficient. It is not necessary to determine the question with any certainty, although it is recommended that registered tax practitioners seek consent if in any doubt.
120. Ultimately, the registered tax practitioner should use their professional judgment to assess whether the use of the information for their personal advantage, or the advantage of others, was authorised, having regard to the circumstances.

⁵⁵ See Explanatory Statement to the Tax Agent Services (Code of Professional Conduct) Determination 2024.

121. In determining whether it is reasonable to conclude that the Australian government agency authorised the use of the information for the personal advantage of the registered tax practitioner, or for the advantage of an associate, employee, employer or client, the following factors may be relevant:

- the use of the information for the personal advantage of the registered tax practitioner (or others) was expressly authorised by the government agency, either in writing or otherwise (for example, the formal engagement letter included a clause authorising the information to be used in such a manner)
- the use of the information for the personal advantage of the registered tax practitioner (or others) was implied by the government agency, either in writing or otherwise.

Comparison with the Corporations Act for tax agents with a tax (financial) advice services condition

122. The TPB recognises that the obligations of some Australian financial services (AFS) licensees and their representatives under the Corporations Act are similar to some obligations under the TASA.

123. Ultimately, while compliance with relevant Corporations Act and Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) requirements will be a relevant factor, it is not conclusive in relation to whether obligations under sections 20 and 25 of the Determination have been satisfied.

124. In particular, it is noted that paragraph 912A(1)(aa) of the Corporations Act provides that a financial services licensee must have in place adequate arrangements for the management of conflicts of interest that may arise wholly, or partially, in relation to activities undertaken by the licensee or a representative of the licensee in the provision of financial services as part of the financial services business of the licensee or the representative.

125. Further, Standard 3 of the Financial Planners and Advisers Code of Ethics 2019, requires that all relevant providers must not advise, refer or act in any other manner where you have a conflict of interest or duty.

126. In addition, subsection 961B(1) of the Corporations Act requires that, in the provision of personal advice to a person as a retail client, the *provider* must act in the best interests of the client in relation to the advice (best interests duty).

127. Further, the best interests duty is supplemented by subsection 961J(1) of the Corporations Act which requires that if the provider knows, or reasonably ought to know, that there is a conflict between the interests of the client and the interests of the provider or their related parties (such as licensees, authorised representatives and associates), the provider must give priority to the client's interests when giving the advice (conflicts priority rule).⁵⁶
128. The primary distinction between the obligations under section 20 of the Determination as compared with the best interests duty / conflicts priority rule is that section 20 of the Determination requires that the registered tax practitioner identify and disclose certain matters in relation to material conflicts of interest, whether real or apparent. In comparison, the best interests duty / conflicts priority rule under the Corporations Act is more narrowly focused on how to deal with an actual conflict of interest.
129. Further, the best interests duty / conflicts priority rule under the Corporations Act merely requires that the client's interests be prioritised in the event of an actual conflict, whereas section 20 of the Determination is broader and requires that arrangements must be in place in relation to material conflicts of interest, whether real or apparent, and if possible and appropriate, reasonable steps are to be taken to avoid the conflict of interest.⁵⁷
130. Another distinction between the obligation under section 20 of the Determination, and the best interests duty / conflicts priority rule is that section 20 applies broadly to any activities that a registered tax practitioner undertakes for an Australian government agency in their professional capacity. In comparison, the best interests duty / conflicts priority rule under the Corporations Act only applies to those providing personal advice to retail clients.
131. It is noted that if an AFS licensee or an authorised representative of an AFS licensee fails to comply with the Corporations Act (including the best interests duty), they may be liable for:
- a civil penalty⁵⁸ and / or
 - an order for compensation for loss or damage suffered by the client.⁵⁹

⁵⁶ Generally, compliance with the best interests duty under the Corporations Act is met by following the safe harbour steps set out in subsection 961B(2) of the Corporations Act. For further information, see ASIC Regulatory Guides RG 175: Licensing: Financial product advisers - conduct and disclosure and RG 244: Giving information, general advice and scaled advice.

⁵⁷ See also paragraphs 30 to 56 in this TPB(GS). In particular, a registered tax practitioner should use their professional judgment to determine the most appropriate method to identify, manage and mitigate a particular conflict.

⁵⁸ See sections 961K and 961Q of the Corporations Act.

⁵⁹ See section 961M of the Corporations Act.

Case studies – section 20 of the Determination

132. These case studies provide general guidance only. In all cases, consideration will need to be given to the specific facts and circumstances.

Case study 1 – Conflict of interest not disclosed to Australian government agency

Situation

Ann is a registered tax agent and a tax partner at a large tax consulting firm. Ann is asked to participate in a confidential consultation led by an Australian government agency in relation to proposed draft legislation concerning new integrity measures that relate to the tax consolidation rules. If implemented, these rules would apply to clients of the large consulting firm, including Ann's own clients.

Upon receiving the confidential consultation papers, Ann identifies that if the new integrity measures took effect, they would adversely impact the tax affairs of some of her clients. Ann identified that her duty to her clients could be perceived as a material conflict of interest, noting that in the circumstances, there was a potential opportunity for her to financially benefit from her knowledge of those proposed new integrity measures by offering advice to those clients who would be impacted (and potentially new clients) on how they could restructure their affairs before the government agency published the draft legislation. She does not document this conflict of interest, nor disclose it to the government agency. She also takes no steps to manage, mitigate or avoid the conflict of interest, despite the existence of reasonable steps she could have taken.

Breach of section 20 of the Determination

Ann has breached her obligation under section 20 of the Determination.

Ann has identified a material conflict of interest in connection with the activities she is undertaking for the government agency and the duty she owes to the agency to maintain confidentiality. She has failed to document and disclose this conflict to the government agency. In addition, it cannot reasonably be concluded that the government agency expressly provided that Ann could continue to participate in the confidential consultation.

In breaching section 20 of the Determination, Ann is in breach of subsection 30-10(17) of the TASA for failing to document and disclose the conflict of interest to the government agency. Further, if Ann used the confidential information to financially benefit from her knowledge of the proposed new integrity measures, the TPB may also find that Ann is in breach of subsections 30-10(1) of the TASA (failing to act with honesty and integrity) and is no longer a fit and proper person to be registered as a tax practitioner.

Case study 2 – Agency authorises continued engagement following disclosure of conflict of interest

Situation

Max is a registered tax agent and a tax partner at a large accounting firm. Max's clients include several large corporations. Max is an expert in company tax law and is often engaged by his clients to advise on how they may lawfully arrange their tax affairs to reduce tax that they may otherwise be liable for. He also regularly lectures on this topic at several Australian universities and has published numerous peer-reviewed articles on the matter.

Due to his expertise in this field, Max is engaged by The Treasury to assist in the design of several company tax law reform measures.

Max immediately documents and discloses the conflict of interest to The Treasury, advising of the nature and extent of the conflict of interest, and provides a broad overview of the nature of the advice and services he provides to his clients. He discloses to The Treasury that his clients include several large corporations that may be adversely impacted by the proposed reform measures. Further, Max provides The Treasury with an overview of how he intends to manage and mitigate the conflict should the engagement continue, noting (in the absence of The Treasury's agreement to continue the engagement) his only option to avoid the conflict would be to decline the engagement. He informs The Treasury that he has taken the following steps to manage and mitigate the conflict of interest:

- recorded the conflict of interest on the firm's conflict register
- reviewed the firm's internal governance policies in relation to conflicts of interest, including the provisions concerning consequences for failing to comply with the procedures, to ensure compliance with those internal policies
- ensured that access to information concerning the reform measures is restricted to those who are also assisting in the design of the reform measures (assuming others are engaged to assist in the design of these reforms).

Following the disclosure of the conflict of interest, The Treasury decides to continue with the engagement, noting the mitigating actions taken by Max and Max's expertise in company tax law. The Treasury provides Max with its express written consent to continue with the engagement, providing Max implements appropriate mitigation measures and those measures continue to be consistent with the express consent throughout the engagement. In addition, The Treasury requests that Max not engage any clients who may be impacted by the proposed policy changes during the period of the engagement.

Conflict of interest

Max has met his obligation in section 20 of the Determination.

Max has appropriately identified, documented, and disclosed the material conflict of interest to The Treasury. He has also provided The Treasury with details of how he intends to manage and mitigate the conflict of interest, noting that the conflict of interest may only be appropriately avoided if he declined the engagement with The Treasury.

In addition, throughout the engagement with The Treasury, Max at all times acts in a manner consistent with the express consent provided by The Treasury.

Case Study 3 – Conflict of interest considered not material

Situation

John is a registered tax practitioner and expert on the Australian superannuation laws. John is engaged by an Australian government agency to provide advice in relation to a proposed superannuation reform package aimed at improving the fairness, sustainability, flexibility and integrity of the superannuation system. The proposed reforms are intended to apply to all superannuation funds.

John is a passive member of 123 Super Fund. Although John identifies that there is a conflict of interest given he is a member of a superfund that will be impacted by the proposed reform package, he assesses this conflict as one that is not material in the circumstances given the proposed reforms will apply broadly to all superannuation funds. Nonetheless, John documents and discloses the conflict to the government agency.

Conflict of interest

John has met his obligation under section 20 of the Determination.

Although John has identified that the conflict of interest in connection with the activities he is undertaking for the government agency is not material, he has nonetheless documented and disclosed the conflict to the government agency.

Even if John had not disclosed the conflict to the government agency, he would not have breached section 20 of the Determination given the conflict was not material.

Case studies – section 25 of the Determination

133. These case studies provide general guidance only. In all cases, consideration will need to be given to the specific facts and circumstances.

Case study 4 – Agency authorises disclosure of information to third party practitioner

Situation

Thomas is a registered tax agent and a tax partner at a mid-sized accounting firm. Thomas is invited to a set of confidential round table discussions led by The Treasury in relation to a proposed increase to tax rates that would largely impact high-wealth individuals. The proposed increase in the tax rates would impact a large portion of the firm's client base.

The invitation to participate in the round table discussions sets out the proposed terms of the engagement, including to whom information received in connection with any activities that Thomas is to undertake for The Treasury may be disclosed. The terms make it clear that a core group of expertise within the firm could be established to participate in the round table discussions and other dialogue in relation to the proposed tax-rate hike, but that the information could not be disseminated further.

Thomas asks two of the firm's partners to participate in the engagement and this is communicated to, and authorised by, The Treasury. Throughout the engagement, Thomas discloses information to the two partners and no further disclosure of the information occurs.

Is there a breach of subsection 25(1) of the Determination?

Thomas has not breached subsection 25(1) of the Determination.

It is reasonable to conclude that the information received in connection with the activities Thomas has undertaken for The Treasury was authorised by the department to be disclosed to the core group, noting in particular the proposed terms of engagement. In addition, Thomas and the core group did not disclose that information further.

If the core group were to further on-disclose the information, it is unlikely that Thomas would be found to have breached subsection 25(1) of the Determination, particularly if Thomas had no knowledge of the on-disclosure. If, however, Thomas was to become aware of the on-disclosure, then he may be required to report the on-disclosure in accordance with his breach reporting obligations if either of the other two partners are registered tax practitioners. There may also be implications for the other partners forming the core group if they are registered tax practitioners. In this situation, it is likely that they would be found to be in breach of subsection 25(1) of the Determination. Depending on the circumstances, they may also be found to have breached subsections 30-10(1) of the TASA (failing to act with honesty and integrity) and / or may also be found to be no longer a fit and proper person to be registered as a tax practitioner. There may also be consequences for the registered tax practitioner firm, particularly if either of the partners were not a registered tax practitioner.

Case study 5 – Agency authorises disclosure of information to third party practitioner, but disclosure is inconsistent with that authorisation

Situation

In Case Study 4, Thomas has been authorised to disclose certain information in relation to activities he is undertaking for The Treasury to a core group to be established within his firm. The authorisation provided to Thomas clearly states how that information is to be communicated to this core group, what information can be disseminated, what information is to remain strictly confidential and not be further disclosed to that core group, and for what purpose that information is to be used. Importantly, the authorisation clearly stipulates that any information shared with that core group is to be provided through email only (with a carbon copy (cc) to The Treasury) and not to be provided in printed form.

Thomas asked two of the firm's partners to participate in the engagement. Throughout the engagement, Thomas discloses information to the two partners. On several occasions, Thomas prints emails from The Treasury in relation to the confidential round table discussions, including confidential meeting papers, and provides those to the two partners.

Is there a breach of subsection 25(1) of the Determination?

Thomas has breached subsection 25(1) of the Determination.

It is not reasonable to conclude that the information received in connection with the activities Thomas has undertaken for The Treasury was authorised by the department to be disclosed to the core group in the manner in which it was disclosed, noting that the authorisation provided to Thomas by The Treasury clearly stipulated that information shared with the core group was to be shared through email only (with a cc to The Treasury).

In breaching subsection 25(1) of the Determination, Thomas is in breach of subsection 30-10(17) of the Code in the TASA for making disclosures of the confidential information in a manner that was not consistent with The Treasury's authorisation.

Case study 6 – Agency does not authorise disclosure of information to third party practitioner

Situation

Isabella is a registered tax agent and a tax partner at a large tax consulting firm. Isabella is asked to participate in a confidential consultation by The Treasury in relation to new measures aimed at improving the tax laws. This confidential consultation included new rules to stop multinationals avoiding tax by shifting profits from Australia to tax havens overseas.

The consultation papers distributed to Isabella were marked "under embargo". In addition, Isabella was asked to sign a confidentiality agreement as part of her formal engagement. The confidentiality agreement signed by her clearly stipulated that any information received, directly or indirectly, in connection with any activities undertaken for The Treasury in

relation to these new measures, is not for further dissemination and that any further disclosure would require the prior authorisation of the government agency.

Throughout the engagement, Isabella made unauthorised disclosures of the confidential law reform to partners and staff within the consulting firm.

Is there a breach of subsection 25(1) of the Determination?

Isabella has breached her obligation under subsection 25(1) of the Determination.

Isabella has disclosed information she received from The Treasury in connection with activities she undertook for the department in her professional capacity. Further, it is not reasonable to conclude in the circumstances that the information received by Isabella was authorised by The Treasury for further disclosure.

In breaching subsection 25(1) of the Determination, Isabella is in breach of subsection 30-10(17) of the Code in the TASA for making unauthorised disclosures of the confidential information. Depending on the circumstances, the TPB may also find that Isabella is in breach of subsections 30-10(1) of the TASA (failing to act with honesty and integrity) and / or that she is no longer a fit and proper person to be registered as a tax practitioner.

Case study 7 – Agency does not authorise use of information for personal advantage

Situation

In Case study 6, during her engagement in the confidential consultation, Isabella became aware that clients of the firm would be impacted by the proposed new measures. Isabella chose to disclose the information received from The Treasury in connection with the activities she was undertaking for the department in her professional capacity for her personal advantage, noting that she stood to gain financially by disclosing the information to the partners of the firm whose clients would be impacted. Similarly, the partners, and others within the firm to whom the confidential information was also disclosed, would gain personally from the unauthorised disclosure, noting the financial benefit that would be gained by informing impacted clients prior to The Treasury publishing the draft legislation.

Is there a breach of subsection 25(2) of the Determination?

Isabella has breached her obligation under subsection 25(2) of the Determination.

In addition to the unauthorised disclosure of confidential information, Isabella has also used this information she received from The Treasury in connection with activities she undertook for The Treasury in her professional capacity for her personal advantage, and the personal advantage of others within the consulting firm. Further, it is not reasonable to conclude in the circumstances that the use of the information received by Isabella was authorised by The Treasury to be used in a way that may provide for such a personal advantage.

In breaching subsection 25(2) of the Determination, Isabella is in breach of subsection 30-10(17) of the TASA, for making unauthorised disclosures of the confidential information for her personal advantage. In the circumstances, it is possible that the TPB may also find that Isabella is in breach of subsections 30-10(1) of the Code in the TASA (failing to act with honesty and integrity) and / or that she is no longer a fit and proper person to be registered as a tax practitioner.

Case study 8 – Agency does not authorise use of information for personal advantage

Situation

Adam is a registered tax practitioner and is employed by a recognised professional association as head of its tax training program. This program is designed to equip graduates with specialist tax knowledge, and upon completion of the program, a tax specialist certification. Adam has extensive experience over several decades in designing and delivering the curriculum of the program. His area of expertise is the taxation of trusts.

Due to Adam's experience in developing tax training programs, particularly on the topic of taxation of trusts, Adam is engaged by The Treasury to assist in drafting a consultation paper on proposed reforms to the taxation of trust income.

Adam disclosed information in relation to the proposed reforms to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the recognised professional association. He discussed his intention to commence work on developing a new training program that could be marketed and offered as soon as a public announcement was made on the proposed reforms. Both Adam and the recognised professional association stood to gain financially as a result of Adam's disclosure of the confidential information, noting that the recognised professional association would gain a competitive advantage by commencing work on and releasing the tax training program into the market before other competitors.

Is there a breach of subsection 25(2) of the Determination?

Adam has breached his obligation under subsection 25(2) of the Determination.

In addition to the unauthorised disclosure of confidential information, Adam has also used this information he received from The Treasury in connection with activities he undertook for The Treasury in his professional capacity for his personal advantage, and the personal advantage of his employer (the recognised professional association). Further, it is not reasonable to conclude in the circumstances that the use of the information received by Adam was authorised by The Treasury to be used in a way that may provide for such a personal advantage.

In breaching subsection 25(2) of the Determination, Adam is in breach of subsection 30-10(17) of the TASA, for making unauthorised disclosures of the confidential information for his personal advantage, and the personal advantage of his employer. In the circumstances, it is possible that the TPB may also find that Adam is in breach of subsections 30-10(1) of the Code in the TASA (failing to act with honesty and integrity) and / or that he is no longer a fit and proper person to be registered as a tax practitioner.

Case study 9 – Confidential information about third party taxpayer received when undertaking activities with the ATO in relation to a client

Situation

123 Limited is a widely held public company limited by shares and is listed on the Australian Stock Exchange (ASX). It is a resident of Australia for Australian tax purposes.

In early 2023, 123 Limited announced to its shareholders that it was planning a corporate restructure. It engaged Joshua, a registered tax agent and partner at Firm 456, to oversee and advise on the tax implications of the corporate restructure, including any potential tax implications for shareholders of 123 Limited.

Joshua, on behalf of 123 Limited, lodged an application for a Class Ruling in late 2023. The application sought the ATO view on the income tax consequences of the corporate restructure, particularly for current holders of ordinary shares in 123 Limited and whether those shareholders would be eligible for roll-over relief in relation to the proposed restructure.

In early 2024, a draft Class Ruling was provided to 123 Limited. The Class Ruling set out the ATO's draft view on the tax implications for 123 Limited and for shareholders of ordinary shares in the company. The draft Class Ruling was provided to Joshua on an in-confidence basis requesting a response from 123 Limited in relation to some minor aspects of the proposed corporate restructure.

Joshua shared the Class Ruling with the Board and Chief Executive Officer of 123 Limited only. It was not shared with shareholders.

Is there a breach of subsection 25(1) of the Determination

Joshua has not breached subsection 25(1) of the Determination.

It is reasonable to conclude that the information received in connection with the activities Joshua has undertaken with the ATO on behalf of 123 Limited was authorised by the ATO to be disclosed to 123 Limited. There was no further disclosure of the Class Ruling.

Further information

134. Outlined below is a listing of reference material that may provide further guidance in relation to managing conflicts of interest and maintaining confidentiality.

Information product	Purpose of document
TPB(GS) 01/2010 Code of Professional Conduct	Provides assistance and explanation of general principles and matters relating to the Code of Professional Conduct that may be relevant to the professional practice of registered tax practitioners.
TPB(GS) 24/2014 Managing conflicts of interest	Assists registered tax practitioners to understand their obligations under subsection 30-10(5) of the TASA (Code of Professional Conduct (Code) item 5).
TPB(GS) 26/2014 Confidentiality of client information	Assists registered tax practitioners to understand their obligations under subsection 30-10(6) of the TASA (Code of Professional Conduct (Code) item 6).
TPB(GS) 48/2024 Breach reporting under the Tax Agent Services Act 2009	Assists registered tax practitioners to understand the breach reporting obligations under sections 30-35 and 30-40 of the TASA, which apply from 1 July 2024.